	Case 1:24-cv-01186-JLT-CDB Document 10 Filed 01/13/25 Page 1 of 2	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAYCE WHITE,	Case No. 1:24-cv-01186 JLT CDB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DISMISSING THE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO
13	v.	
14	J. DOERER, et al.,	CLOSE THIS CASE
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 9)
16		
17	Kayce is a federal prisoner and seeks to hold the defendants liable for civil rights	
18	violations, based upon the conditions of lockdown that occurred at the United States Penitentiary-	
19	Atwater from August 9 to October 9, 2024. (See generally Doc. 4.) The magistrate judge ordered	
20	Plaintiff to show cause why the matter should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust	
21	administrative remedies (Doc. 7), and Plaintiff failed to respond to the order.	
22	The magistrate judge found it was "clear on the face of the complaint that Plaintiff has	
23	failed to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit as required under the Prison	
24	Litigation Reform Act." (Doc. 9 at 2.) Although Plaintiff asserted that he was unable to access	
25	grievance forms, the magistrate judge found "no allegations to suggest this was anything other	
26	than a temporary unavailability." (Id. at 3.) In addition, Plaintiff did not allege "any efforts by	
27	him to exhaust administrative remedies after the lockdown ended where thwarted." (Id.,	
28	emphasis omitted.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court dismiss "this action	
		1

## without prejudice to Plaintiff's re-filing once his claims are exhausted." (*Id.* at 4.) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 9 at 5.) The Court advised him that the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed. According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The Findings and Recommendations dated December 20, 2024 (Doc. 9) are **ADOPTED** in full. 2. This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice due to Plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies. 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 13, 2025**

Case 1:24-cv-01186-JLT-CDB Document 10 Filed 01/13/25 Page 2 of 2